

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN**

Ryan Dalessaneri,

Plaintiff,

v.

Credit Acceptance Corporation,

Defendant.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

Civil Action No.: _____

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

COMPLAINT & JURY DEMAND

For this Complaint, Plaintiff, Ryan Dalessaneri, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. This action arises out of Defendant's repeated violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, *et seq.* (the "TCPA").
2. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that Defendant transacts business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff, Ryan Dalessaneri ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Monroe, Michigan, and a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).
4. Defendant, Credit Acceptance Corporation ("CAC"), is a Michigan business entity with an address of 25505 West 12 Mile Road, Southfield, Michigan 48034-8316, and a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

FACTS

5. Within the last year, CAC began calling Plaintiff's cellular telephone, number 734-xxx-2045, using an automatic telephone dialing system ("ATDS" or "predictive dialer") and/or using a prerecorded voice.

6. When he answered calls from CAC, Plaintiff heard silence followed by a prerecorded message which instructed him to return the call.

7. In or around January 2016, Plaintiff spoke with a CAC representative and demanded that CAC cease all calls to his cellular telephone number.

8. Nevertheless, CAC continued to place automated calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number.

COUNT I
VIOLATIONS OF THE TCPA – 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq.

9. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

10. At all times mentioned herein year, Defendant called Plaintiff's cellular telephone number using an ATDS or predictive dialer and/or using a prerecorded message.

11. Defendant continued to place automated calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number despite knowing that it lacked consent to do so. As such, each call placed to Plaintiff was made in knowing and/or willful violation of the TCPA, and subject to treble damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

12. The telephone number called by Defendant was assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs charges pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).

13. Plaintiff was annoyed, harassed and inconvenienced by Defendant's continued calls.

14. The calls from Defendant to Plaintiff were not placed for “emergency purposes” as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(i).

15. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each call made in negligent violation of the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).

16. As a result of each call made in knowing and/or willful violation of the TCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of treble damages in an amount up to \$1,500.00 pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant:

1. Statutory damages of \$500.00 for each violation determined to be negligent pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);
2. Treble damages for each violation determined to be willful and/or knowing pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C); and
3. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: September 8, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Sergei Lemberg, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff Ryan Dalessaneri
LEMBERG LAW, L.L.C.
43 Danbury Road, 3rd Floor
Wilton, CT 06897
Telephone: (203) 653-2250
Facsimile: (888) 953-6237
Email: slemberg@leberglaw.com